

## Corres. and Mall

AFIZEN

Response under 37 C.F.R. 1.116
- Expedited Examining Procedure Examining Group 1734

MAIL STOP AF 84945/KNM Customer No. 01333

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of

Thomas Laney, et al.

THERMAL-DYE-TRANSFER LABEL CAPABLE OF REPRODUCING FLESH TONES

Serial No.: 10,602,839

Filed: June 24, 2003

Commissioner for Patents Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Karen J. Wacenske NAME

SIGNA

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited today with

the United States Postal Services as First Class Mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents. Post Office Box 1450.

DATE: 11-2-05

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Group Art Unit: 1734 Examiner: George R. Koch

Sir:

## **REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.116**

In response to the Office Action mailed August 25, 2005, Applicants provide the following remarks. Consideration of the remarks after final is proper under 37 C.F.R. §1.116 because 1) the claims are not amended; 2) no further search or consideration of the claims is required; 3) the remarks clarify issues previously presented; and 4) the remarks place the application in condition for allowance. Entry and consideration of the remarks are thus respectfully solicited.

Claims 1-14, 19-26, 33-36, and 38-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) over Weber (US 5,288,548) and Freedman (US Patent 5,372,669). Claims 11,12,15-18, 27-37, 42, and 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) over Weber and Freedman as applied to claim 1 and 11 above, and further view of Shirai (US Patent 6,153,558), Harrison (US 5,399,218), Oshima (US 6,162,517), or a combination thereof. Applicants traverse each of the rejections for at least the following reasons.

## Arguments with respect to Weber

Applicants respectfully assert that the Patent Office has incorrectly understood the cited primary reference of Weber. The Patent Office points to selected sections of